



Trucks and Commercial Vehicles

# Ride quality objective evaluation of heavy commercial vehicles

PRESENTING AUTHOR M. Caudano CENTRO RICERCHE FIAT, Vehicle Dynamics

#### **CO-AUTHORS**

- E. Esposto
- D. Gostoli, M. Mossino
- I. Giovannini, L. Zunino
- S. Data, P.Brizio
- F. Bandera

- IVECO, Innovation
- IVECO, Quality Evaluation
- IVECO, Testing
- CRF, Vehicle Dynamics
- CRF, Product Quality



## **INDEX**



- 1. Main goals
- 2. Background and Project Plan
- 3. Subjective Evaluation
- 4. Objective Evaluation
- 5. Ride Quality Index
- 6. Conclusions and Next steps

**INDEX** 



## 1. Main goals

- 2. Background and Project Plan
- 3. Subjective Evaluation
- 4. Objective Evaluation
- 5. Ride Quality Index
- 6. Conclusions and Next steps

111





- Definition of a Ride Perceived Quality Index
- Definition of Vehicle Technical Specifications for Target Setting

and then .....

- Objective Ride Methodology definition
- Subjective Ride Methodology tuning (Customer Perceived)





## 1. Main goals

- 2. Background and Project Plan
- 3. Subjective Evaluation
- 4. Objective Evaluation
- 5. Ride Quality Index
- 6. Conclusions and Next steps

- de

## **Background and Project Plan**



## Ride Objective Evaluation in Fiat Group



From the beginning of the '90s a Vibration Perceived Quality Index has been established in Fiat Group Automobiles



## IVECO

## Now the same approach has been transferred towards Heavy Trucks



## **Background and Project Plan**

CRF CENTRO RICERCHE FIAT

## QUALITY INDEX – APPLIED PROCEDURE





## **REFERENCE VEHICLES**

- 5 vehicles (balance between significant statistic sample and test timing/resources)
- Test Configuration: Full Load since these vehicles are mainly used in this way
- Test vehicles remarkably different to assure best subjective perception distinction (among Worst and Best in Class). Therefore, test vehicles **NOT** defined with performance benchmarking criteria **BUT** to assure the best evaluation of Ride differences to define the quality index.

TEST TRACK:SubjectiveLa Mandria/BaloccoObjectiveLa Mandria/Balocco









- 1. Main goals
- 2. Background and Project Plan
- 3. Subjective Evaluation
- 4. Objective Evaluation
- 5. Ride Quality Index
- 6. Conclusions and Next steps



## Questionnaire definition and Jury Selection



#### **Jury Selection**

- 15 Drivers
- Professional drivers
- Selected from IVECO and external companies





#### Statistical spread analysis

#### Search of outlier judges



scale range

#### Search of more meaningful aspects

Indici Qualita' Vibroacustici



Judges could not evaluate only 1 aspect: steering wheel vibration on pavè



## Partial rating example: Seat Cushion vibration on Rough Road





## Ride Global Evaluation and influence of partial ratings



A principal component analysis has been applied for the identification of the main clusters in subjective perception.

#### Weights of the partial aspects



#### Stuttgart, June 16th 2009





- 1. Main goals
- 2. Background and Project Plan
- 3. Subjective Evaluation
- 4. Objective Evaluation
- 5. Ride Quality Index
- 6. Conclusions and Next steps

MEG

- Vehicle setup
- Test procedure
- Post-processing and Example of some results



- TRIAXIAL ACCELERATION AT THE SEAT CUSHION
- TRIAXIAL ACCELERATION UNDER THE SEAT (CAB SIDE)
- VERTICAL ACCELERATION AT THE SEAT GUIDE
- VERTICAL ACCELERATION AT THE FLOOR
- TRIAXIAL ACCELERATION AT THE STEERING WHEEL

TOTAL: 11 channels + vehicle speed











- Vehicle setup
- Test procedure
- Post-processing and Example of some results

#### **Ride road tests:**

- Highway
- Rough Roads (both asphalt and paved) –
- Rectangular obstacle
- Idle

#### **Seat Guide vertical Acceleration**







- Vehicle setup
- Test procedure
- Post-processing and synthesis

#### Main calculated parameters

#### Random Roads & Idle

- RMS in time domain
- RMS in a frequency band
- RMS from spectra filtered ISO 2631

#### **Obstacle:**

- Range
- RMS
- RMS difference (between impact and stationary)
- Dissipation Time

## CENTRO RICERCHE FIAT

#### Filtering ISO 2631





#### Stuttgart, June 16th 2009





- 1. Main goals
- 2. Background and Project Plan
- 3. Subjective Evaluation
- 4. Objective Evaluation
- 5. Ride Quality Index
- 6. Conclusions and Next steps

## **Ride Quality Index**



#### The process for Index identification



#### **1: Partial index**

Subjective evaluations are correlated with measured parameters.

#### **2: Global quality index**

Starting from the weights of partial aspects on global determined from subjective evaluation, a refinement is done in order to compensate the aspect not yet covered by partial objective indexes.

## **Ride Quality Index**



### Partial ratings: Correlation with Subjective Evaluations



#### Seat vibration on rough road

Index = A + B \* Param1 + C \* Param2

#### Parameters:

Param1: RMS of vertical vibration at seat guide ISO 2631 filteredParam2: RMS of longitudinal vibration at cushion



#### Subjective evaluation

## **Ride Quality Index**

## Global Ride rating: Correlation & Composition



**Predicted global Ride Perceived Quality** 



## **INDEX**



- 1. Main goals
- 2. Background and Project Plan
- 3. Subjective Evaluation
- 4. Objective Evaluation
- 5. Ride Quality Index
- 6. Conclusions and Next steps



THE.

## **Conclusions and Next steps**



#### A methodology for objective Ride assessment of HCV was developed

- TECHNICAL TARGET SETTING USING PERCEIVED QUALITY INDEX
- OBJECTIVE EVALUATION OF PROJECT SOLUTIONS/PROTOTYPES
- TARGET DEPLOYMENT REVIEW/IMPROVEMENT

#### Next steps: further methodology development

- DEPLOYMENT TO SUBSYSTEMS AND COMPONENTS PROCEDURES & STANDARDS
- TARGET VIRTUAL VERIFICATION USING SIMULATION MODELS
- EXTENSION TO OTHER VEHICLE PERFORMANCES