Validation of Complex Systems



EVoCS – Evolutionary Validation of Complex Systems

Ross McMurran – WMG, University of Warwick

ross.mcmurran@warwick.ac.uk

Lead Partner:
Image: Second Secon



Introduction

- **Systems of Systems**
- Validation of SoS Design

Validation of SoS Implementation

Future Work

Conclusions



Part of UK Technology Programme □ Project size £10M Total 2006-2010

Partners: Jaguar Land-Rover, QinetiQ, add2, Warwick Univ

Objective: To maximise confidence in the design and implementation of complex automotive electrical systems through:

Innovative techniques for the validation of the design at a System of Systems level









Introduction

Systems of Systems

Validation of SoS Design

Validation of SoS Implementation

Future Work

Conclusions



Systems of Systems are a specific type of Complex System affecting many fields including biology, sociology, engineering and military

New systems are composed of a number of individual systems with their own levels of autonomy.

Significant properties are:

- □ Autonomy of elements
- Evolutionary development
- Heterogeneous elements
- □ Sharing of resources
- Geographic distribution
- Emergent behaviour



Automotive Electronics SoS View



As systems become more complex it becomes harder:

- to predict behaviour (Emergent properties)
- to verify complete system (state space explosion) or sub-parts in isolation

New Methods are required for Validation and Verification at System of Systems Level throughout development



Late availability of vehicle level platforms

Large Scale

- **Effort to model**
- Understanding of interactions
- Scaling issues with formal methods

Non-homogeneous nature of individual systems

- Different levels of detail
- **Different modelling techniques**
- Different failure modes

Extent of re-validation when a change occurs in one system

What properties/attributes to validate at an SoS level?

□ Those that relate most strongly to robustness

Robustness of Systems of Systems

Robustness concerns the resilience of a system to maintain an appropriate level of function during and after variations or disturbances.



© 2008 University of Warwick



Sources of variation

Continuous and state event driven based behaviours

Coverage v's time to market

Impact of failures

Detecting latent faults outside nominal operating regions

- □ Transient conditions
- Under fault condition in another area of SoS
- □ Under conditions of variation e.g. tolerance spread, wear out

System Interactions expected range



Introduction

Systems of Systems

Validation of SoS Design

Validation of SoS Implementation

Future Work

Conclusions

System of System Design Validation





Understanding System interactions vital for design of SoS

- □ Information typically spread across several specifications
- □ Large amount of interactions

| | TPMS I | RSJB | RCCM PSM | Л P | DM I | PAM | KVM . | IDS | INST I | CP ICI | / HDN | I FSJI | B DSM | DDM | CANa | lyz | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--------|------|----------|-----|------|-----|-------|-----|--------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-----|------|-----|------------------|------------|-----|------|------------|------|--------|-----|
| TPMS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 1 | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| RSJB | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 85 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 9 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| RCCM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 22 | ^ | 10 | ^ | ^ | ^ | ^ | ^ | | | | | | | _ | _ |
| PSM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | | DSC | ESCL | INST | ACC | ADCM | JDS | TCM | AFLS | PIE | RCM | CANaly GSN | I EC | SM E | EPB |
| PDM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 8 | DSC | 0 | 2 | 29 | 20 | 7 | | 8 2' | 1 3 | 3 | 2 10 | 0 0 | 0 | 28 | 3 |
| PAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | ESCL | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 (|) (|) (| 0 (| 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KVM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 | INST | 21 | 22 | 0 | 29 | 20 | 1 | 6 2 [′] | 1 22 | 2 1 | 8 3 | 2 0 | 4 | 81 | 33 |
| JDS | 16 | 8 | Ř | 8 | 24 | 8 | 8 | 0 | | ACC | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 (|) (|) (| 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 |
| INST | 1 | 29 | 36 | 0 | | 1 | 0 | ő | ő | ADCM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 (|) (|) | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| ICP | , 0 | _0 | 0 | õ | Ő | 0 | Ő | 8 | Ř | IDS | 16 | 16 | 24 | 16 | 24 | | 0 48 | . 16 | : 1 | 6 1 | s 0 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| ICM | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 27 | TCM | 7 | 201 | 10 | 10 | | | 0 -0 1 (| | | | | 2 | 24 | 2 |
| HDM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 10 | I CIW | | 3 | 10 | 4 | | . 0 | 4 (|) 4) 6 | + · | | J 4 | 3 | 24 | 3 |
| | 1 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | AFLS | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | | 8 (|) (|) | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FSJB | | 10 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 40 | PIE | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | | 8 (|) (|) | 0 (| 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DSM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 13 | RCM | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 (|) (|) (| 0 (|) 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| DDM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 9 | CANaly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 0 |) (|) (| 0 (| 0 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| CANaly | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | GSM | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 3 | 3 0 |) (| 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | ECM | 13 | 2 | 67 | 21 | 9 | 4 | 0 2' | I F | | 2 . | 7 44 | 4 | 0 | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | | FPB | 2 | 0 | 15 | - 3 | 0 | | 8 2 | · · |) | 0 0 |) 1 | 0 | 3 3 | 0 |

CANdb2DSM Tool Interaction Analysis

Lack of analytical modelling techniques at SoS level to capture inter system interactions

- Conflict between detail and coverage
- □ Differing levels of detail known by integrator across systems



Interaction & Dependability Modelling & Analysis

Modelling





Multiple refinements to check properties of detailed system



Advanced Physical Modelling





Introduction

Systems of Systems

Validation of SoS Design

Validation of SoS Implementation

Future Work

Conclusions

System of Systems Validation of Implementation



EVoCS Reconfigurable HIL Platform

High flexibility under software control to support intersystem robustness testing

- Flexible Connectivity
- Flexible Signal Conditioning
- □ Flexible Signal Type
- Flexible Signal Direction
- Flexible Signal Disabling
- Flexible ground and reference selection
- Flexible Fault Insertion



EVoCS Reconfigurable HIL Platform



Matlab/Simulink Modelling and Simulation

EVoCS Reconfigurable HIL Platform

Channels can be configured individually under software control

- Signal type
- Direction
- Bandwidth
- 🗆 Gain
- Loading requirements
- Internal loads can be pulled up to a supply rail or pulled down to ground
- Support for external loads /sensors



Low Voltage Robustness Testing

Low voltage a key issue for automotive SoS

- Conditions of variable system states
- Current tests insufficiently representative of real conditions
 - Waveform variety
 - Duration
 - Coverage



Low Voltage Robustness Testing

Low Voltage test system

- Pseudo-random automated waveform generator
- □ Electronic "Rusty File"
- Vehicle impedance simulator for component testing







V1 V20 T1 -- normal distributionT1



Work in progress

- □ Standardisation for release to suppliers
- Compact test equipment development by add2
- □ Automated analysis of DTC's & CAN buses







Fully automated robustness testing of Infotainment system initialisation

Emulate expert functional testing to confirm that system (of systems) appears to be operating correctly

Key elements

- Pseudo random initialisation
- Stimulation of inputs: via electrical stimulation of touch screen and remote controls
- Monitoring and analysis of video and audio outputs
- Test Automation of manual test procedures
- Automated logging of diagnostics and MOST bus

Automated Infotainment Testing



© 2008 University of Warwick



Introduction

Systems of Systems

Validation of SoS Design

Validation of SoS Implementation

Future Work

Conclusions



Design of multi-parameter robustness tests

- □ Large scale optimisation approach
- Incorporating domain knowledge from design stage

Modular dependability cases

Overall framework for design for robustness at SoS level



Introduction

Systems of Systems

Validation of SoS Design

Validation of SoS Implementation

Future Work

Conclusions



Automotive Electrical System is in fact a system of systems with accompanying issues

Key characteristic of System of Systems is robustness to deal with disturbances or unexpected interactions

New validation tools and techniques required to deal with scale of system and fully explore robustness





Ross McMurran – WMG, University of Warwick ross.mcmurran@warwick.ac.uk

